Artificial Intelligence in HR AI-Assisted Shortlisting and Selection Process in Educational Institutions

Ms. Monika Panwar[1], Ms. Tanvi Mittal[2], Ms. Amrita[3], Mr. Vijay Raj[4]
[1]*Assistant Professor Institute of Professional Excellence Management, Ghaziabad*
[2]*Assistant Professor Meerut Institute of Technology, Meerut*
[3]*Assistant Professor Krishna Group of Institutions, Meerut*
[4]*Professor Krishna Group of Institutions, Meerut*

***Abstract*—Artificial Intelligence (AI) is increasingly being used in Human Resource Management to improve the speed, efficiency and consistency of recruitment processes. Educational institutions receive applications from large numbers of faculty and administrative candidates, making manual screening and shortlisting time-consuming. AI-based recruitment tools can assist HR departments in screening. applications, matching qualifications with job requirements, identifying relevant experience and generating shortlists for further evaluation.**

This short research paper examines the potential role of AI in the shortlisting and selection process in educational institutions. The paper focuses on the benefits, challenges and human oversight required when AI is used for recruitment. A conceptual framework is proposed in which AI-assisted screening influences recruitment efficiency, while transparency, fairness and human supervision are considered important factors affecting the quality of selection decisions. The paper concludes that AI should be used as a decision-support tool rather than as a complete replacement for HR professionals and selection committees.

***Index Terms*—Artificial Intelligence, Human Resource Management, Recruitment, AI Shortlisting, Faculty Selection, Educational Institutions, HR Analytics, Selection Process.**

I. INTRODUCTION

Human Resource Management plays a critical role in the functioning of educational institutions. The recruitment of qualified faculty, academic administrators and professional staff directly influences the quality of education and institutional performance.

Traditional recruitment generally involves:
Advertisement → Application → CV Screening → Shortlisting → Interview → Selection → Appointment
When hundreds or thousands of applications are received, manual CV screening can require considerable time and HR resources.
Artificial Intelligence offers the possibility of assisting HR departments by analyzing applications against predefined job requirements.

AI can potentially assist in:

- CV screening;
- qualification matching;
- experience analysis;
- keyword and skill identification;
- candidate ranking or prioritization;
- interview scheduling;
- communication with applicants; and
- recruitment analytics.

However, recruitment decisions have significant consequences for applicants and institutions. Therefore, the use of AI requires transparency, appropriate validation, data protection and meaningful human oversight.

II. PROBLEM STATEMENT

Educational institutions frequently receive a large number of applications for faculty and administrative positions.
Manual screening may create several challenges:

1. High workload for HR personnel.
2. Long recruitment cycles.
3. Inconsistent initial screening.

4. Difficulty in comparing large numbers of CVs.
5. Repetitive administrative work.
6. Possibility of human error.
7. Difficulty in maintaining consistent screening criteria.

AI-assisted recruitment may address some of these challenges, but it can also introduce new risks, including algorithmic bias, inappropriate screening criteria, lack of transparency and excessive reliance on automated recommendations.

Therefore, the research problem is:

How can Artificial Intelligence improve the shortlisting and selection process in educational institutions while maintaining fairness, transparency and human oversight?

III. OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

The study has the following objectives:

1. To examine the role of AI in the recruitment process of educational institutions.
2. To examine how AI can assist in candidate shortlisting.
3. To examine the perceived impact of AI on recruitment efficiency.
4. To identify the challenges associated with AI-based candidate selection.
5. To examine the importance of human supervision in AI-assisted recruitment.
6. To propose an AI-assisted HR recruitment framework for educational institutions.

IV. RESEARCH QUESTIONS

RQ1: How can AI assist educational institutions in shortlisting candidates?

RQ2: Does AI-assisted screening improve recruitment efficiency?

RQ3: What are the major challenges associated with AI-based recruitment?

RQ4: What role should HR professionals and selection committees play when AI is used?

RQ5: Can AI-assisted recruitment improve consistency and transparency in initial candidate screening?

V. PROPOSED CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK

The proposed framework is:



The important principle is:

AI assists the decision process; qualified human decision-makers remain responsible for the final selection.

VI. AI IN THE SHORTLISTING PROCESS

AI can be used at the initial screening stage to compare candidate information with predetermined job criteria.

For example, for an Assistant Professor – Management position, the institution may define:

Selection Criterion	Example Requirement
Qualification	MBA/Ph.D. as prescribed
Specialization	Management/Relevant Area
Teaching Experience	As per institutional criteria
Research	Publications/research experience
Skills	Teaching, digital learning, research
Additional	Industry/consultancy experience

AI can then assist HR by identifying applications that appear to meet the specified criteria.

The resulting output should be treated as a preliminary shortlist, not an automatic appointment recommendation.

VII. PROPOSED AI-ASSISTED SELECTION PROCESS

Stage 1 — Job Analysis
HR defines:

- Job description
- Qualification
- Experience
- Skills
- Responsibilities
- Selection criteria

Stage 2 — AI-Based Application Screening
AI analyses submitted applications according to predefined and job-relevant criteria.

Stage 3 — Preliminary Shortlisting
Candidates meeting the defined requirements are identified for HR review.

Stage 4 — Human Verification
HR personnel verify:

- Qualifications
- Experience
- Documents
- Publications
- Employment history
- Eligibility

Stage 5 — Academic/Technical Evaluation
For faculty positions, candidates may undergo:

- Teaching demonstration
- Research presentation
- Subject knowledge assessment
- Interview

Stage 6 — Selection Committee
The final decision is made by the authorized selection committee in accordance with applicable institutional and regulatory requirements.

Stage 7 — Appointment
The selected candidate proceeds through the institution's appointment and verification procedures.

VIII. BENEFITS OF AI-ASSISTED RECRUITMENT

8.1 Time Saving
AI can process large volumes of applications more rapidly than manual screening.

8.2 Administrative Efficiency
HR staff can spend less time on repetitive screening tasks.

8.3 Consistency
A clearly defined screening framework can help apply the same initial criteria across applications.

8.4 Data Management
AI-enabled systems can help organize candidate information and recruitment records.

8.5 Recruitment Analytics
Institutions can analyze:

- number of applications;
- qualification patterns;
- recruitment time;
- source of applicants;
- shortlist ratios; and
- recruitment outcomes.

IX. CHALLENGES AND RISKS

AI-based recruitment should not be considered automatically objective.

9.1 Algorithmic Bias
If historical recruitment data or screening rules contain bias, an AI system may reproduce or amplify it.

9.2 Lack of Explainability
Applicants and HR professionals may find it difficult to understand why a particular application was prioritized or excluded.

9.3 Over-Reliance on Keywords
A candidate's suitability cannot always be determined from CV keywords.

9.4 Data Privacy

Recruitment systems process personal and professional information and therefore require appropriate data protection practices.

9.5 Human Qualities

Important qualities such as:

- teaching ability;
- leadership;
- empathy;
- communication;
- research potential;
- institutional fit; and
- interpersonal skills may not be adequately assessed through CV screening alone.

X. PROPOSED RESEARCH HYPOTHESES

For an empirical version of this study, the following hypotheses may be tested:

H1: AI-assisted recruitment has a significant positive relationship with recruitment efficiency in educational institutions.

H2: AI-assisted shortlisting significantly reduces the perceived time required for initial candidate screening.

H3: Perceived transparency of AI-assisted recruitment is positively associated with HR professionals' trust in the recruitment system.

H4: Human oversight significantly influences the perceived fairness of AI-assisted recruitment.

H5: Perceived fairness of AI-assisted recruitment is positively associated with acceptance of AI-based recruitment among HR professionals.

XI. PROPOSED METHODOLOGY

A quantitative descriptive and empirical research design may be used.

Target Respondents

The study may survey:

- HR managers;
- HR executives;
- university administrators;
- registrars/deans;
- heads of departments;
- faculty involved in recruitment; and
- members of selection committees.

Suggested Sample

For a short empirical study:

200–300 respondents

A larger sample can be used for more advanced statistical modelling.

Data Collection

A structured questionnaire can be administered using a 5-point Likert scale:

1 = Strongly Disagree

2 = Disagree

3 = Neutral

4 = Agree

5 = Strongly Agree

XII. SAMPLE QUESTIONNAIRE ITEMS

AI-Assisted Shortlisting

AI1: AI can reduce the time required for initial CV screening.

AI2: AI can efficiently identify candidates who meet predefined qualifications.

AI3: AI can assist HR professionals in managing large numbers of applications.

Recruitment Efficiency

RE1: AI-assisted recruitment can reduce recruitment processing time.

RE2: AI can improve the efficiency of the initial screening process.

Fairness and Transparency

FT1: AI recruitment systems should use clearly defined selection criteria.

FT2: Candidates should be informed when AI is substantially involved in recruitment decisions.

FT3: Human review should be available before a candidate is finally rejected or selected.

Human Oversight

HO1: Final faculty selection should remain the responsibility of authorized human decision-makers.

HO2: HR professionals should verify AI-generated shortlists.

HO3: AI recommendations should not automatically determine final selection.

XIII. DATA ANALYSIS

The following statistical techniques may be used:

- Frequency and percentage analysis

- Mean and standard deviation
- Cronbach's Alpha
- Correlation analysis
- Regression analysis
- t-test/ANOVA, where appropriate

If sufficient data are collected, Structural Equation Modelling (SEM) may also be considered.

XIV. EXPECTED FINDINGS

The study is expected to establish that AI can potentially improve the speed and administrative efficiency of the initial recruitment process.

However, the research is also expected to demonstrate that AI-based shortlisting should be accompanied by:

- clearly defined job criteria;
- human verification;
- transparent procedures;
- appropriate data governance;
- periodic evaluation for discriminatory outcomes; and
- final decision-making by authorized human professionals.

XV. PROPOSED HR MODEL FOR EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTIONS

The study proposes the following model:

"AI + HR + Academic Selection Committee Model"

AI
→ Application Screening
→ Qualification Matching
→ Experience Matching
→ Preliminary Shortlist
↓
HR
→ Document Verification
→ Eligibility Verification
→ Shortlist Validation
↓
Academic Experts
→ Subject Evaluation
→ Teaching Demonstration
→ Research Assessment
↓
Selection Committee
→ Interview
→ Comprehensive Evaluation
→ Final Selection

This model combines the speed and analytical capability of AI with human judgement and institutional accountability.

XVI. CONCLUSION

Artificial Intelligence has the potential to transform Human Resource Management in educational institutions, particularly in the initial stages of recruitment and candidate shortlisting. AI can assist institutions in processing large numbers of applications, identifying candidates against predefined criteria and reducing repetitive administrative work.

However, recruitment is not merely a data-processing activity. Faculty and academic positions require assessment of knowledge, teaching capability, research potential, communication, leadership and interpersonal qualities.

Therefore, the appropriate approach is not "AI replacing HR", but:

"AI supporting HR and human selection committees."

A responsible AI-assisted recruitment system should combine efficiency, fairness, transparency, data protection and human oversight.

The proposed research therefore provides a practical framework for educational institutions seeking to modernize recruitment while retaining appropriate human accountability.

REFERENCES

[1] Bhatia, B. S., & Batra, G. S. — Human Resource Management. Deep & Deep Publications, New Delhi.
[2] Aswathappa, K. — Human Resource Management: Text and Cases. McGraw Hill Education, India.
[3] Gupta, C. B. — Human Resource Management. Sultan Chand & Sons, New Delhi.
[4] Mamoria, C. B., & Gankar, S. V. — A Textbook of Human Resource Management. Himalaya Publishing House, Mumbai.
[5] Rao, V. S. P. — Human Resource Management: Text and Cases. Excel Books, New Delhi.

[6] Kumar, R. — Human Resource Management: A Contemporary Approach. I.K. International Publishing **House**.

[7] Subba Rao, P. — Essentials of Human Resource Management and Industrial Relations. Himalaya **Publishing** House.

Research Papers

[8] Black, J. S., & van Esch, P. (2020). AI-enabled recruiting: What is it and how should a manager use it? Business Horizons, 63(2), 215–226. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bushor.2019.12.001

[9] Cappelli, P., Tambe, P., & Yakubovich, V. (2019). Artificial intelligence in human resources management: Challenges and a path forward. California Management Review, 61(4), 15–42. https://doi.org/10.1177/0008125619867910

[10] Köchling, A., & Wehner, M. C. (2020). Discriminated by an algorithm: A systematic review of discrimination and fairness in algorithmic decision-making. Business Research, 13, 795–848. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40685-020-00134-w

[11] Raghavan, M., Barocas, S., Kleinberg, J., & Levy, K. (2020). Mitigating bias in algorithmic hiring: Evaluating claims and practices. Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency, 469–481. https://doi.org/10.1145/3351095.3372828

[12] Stone, D. L., Deadrick, D. L., Lukaszewski, K. M., & Johnson, R. (2015). The influence of technology on the future of human resource management. Human Resource Management Review, 25(2), 216–231. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrmr.2015.01.002

[13] van Esch, P., Black, J. S., & Ferolie, J. (2019). Marketing AI recruitment: The next phase in job application and selection. Computers in Human Behavior, 90, 215–222. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2018.09.009